# Common Carrier: Author Defends Image of Joseph Smith as Prophet

Ex Prof Hugh Nibley

First of all let me make Perfectly clear that I have not Pand Jarold or Sindley Lamer. a clear for the one publicity they have given my forthcom the bank it is all about the Book of Breathings, and is son pages long, but that is not enough to account for keeping the a spatient lanners waiting for six years. What took up all that hope was having to find out about a let of imags

First mere was

Meaham problem which shout 1960, started spitting out all over as all creas of old legends and reports about Abraham in a dozen languages began to come off the presses for the first time and students everywhere began re- valuation of Abraham as man and myth. The really formidahie challenge of the Book of Abraham is that it is no fiasco, if is simply packed with details that come right out of the newly published sources - whether the stuff is legendary or historical is beside the point, which is that it tells the same story of Abraham that Joseph Smith does, a story not found in the Bible and entirely unknown to the contemporaries of the Prophet This was a development that could not be ignored, and a preliminary study took up some of the six

### Matter of Egyptian

Then there was the matter of Egyptian. A few courses at Berkeley and Chicago were herdly adequate for dealing with the peculiar document in question which, as it turns out, lies in an area unexplored by Egyptologists for almost a bundred years. It has taken at least five more years to learn Egyptian as well as I should bave known it in the first place. Most of all, however, it was the Book of Breathings Heelf that stopped the clock. as final returns were repeatedly postponed to hear from some new precinct. After alrisont a century of total neglect, the Book of Breathlight has suddenly become the liject of intensive study by verious Karopean scholars, with new and unknown tests on threem mornia tim polaric new points of view take form.

Of particular importance has been the discovery of the prince of Books of Breathing. Leiden Papyrus, T32, which set the Dutch scholar B. H. Stricker hot on the trail with tor first in-depth studies of the "Breathing" literature ever to be made. At the risk of contradicting the declaration of the Tanners and their infallible informant, that the Book of Breathings is "a pagan document which has absolutely nothing to do with Abraham or his religion," Prof Stricker and other editors of Breathing text insist that it has infimate ties with Judaism and Christianity, and in particular Stricker would refer us to Abraham as representative of the rites and ordi nances which are the subject of the Book or Breathings.

#### Identified by Whom? It would seem that Mr. and Mrs. Tanner have been hope-

lessly hung up from the first

on one issue, to which they

perpetually revert with a sort

of hypnotic fascination. It is

the claim that the Pook of Breathings has been "identified as the very source of the Book of Abraham." Identified by whom? By them, to be sure. The minute I saw the text I declared that it could not possibly be the source of the Book of Abraham because it was a "Sen-sen" text that being about all I could make out of it at the time. This displeased my colleagues at BYU who were desperately hoping that we had struck pay dirt while the opposition promptly published my statement as an admission that the Book of Abraham was a fraud - a maneuver worthy of Watergate. What everybody conveniently overlooked was that Joseph Smith, who went out of his way to connect the three Facsimiles with Abraham, never put forth the Book of Breathings as his source, but instead described a very different document as the text of Abraham. The manuscript of the Book of Abraham according to him WES perfectly preserved. beautifully written, and contained rubries or passages in red ink. Where does this leave the Book of Breathings, which

was badly damaged even be-



Prof. Hugh Nibles Common Carrier Author

fore it was mounted at Kirtland, is the one fragment so budly written that it would disgrace any scribe, and contains not a speck of red ink? If this is the Book of Abraham, how does it happen that Joseph Smith in his careful description fails to mention the most striking thing about it - the full-page illustration at the beginning? But doesn't the illustration, which does belong to the Book of Abraham, being found attached to the Book of Breathings show that the two must he the same? Not if you know how the Egyptians did these things, and that is important.

#### Warns Student to Be Aware

The editor of one of the most important Books of Breathing (Pap. Louvre, N. 3279) warns the student to be aware of a peculiar practice of the Egyptians in illustrating their ritual texts, the rule ing that the picture does not go with the text. "Once again, the illustration has only the remotest possible connection with the text, and it is exceedingly difficult to explain just what the reason is for this phenomenon, so common in the Later Period." Only the last illustration in his book goes with the text, as Prof. Goyon writes, "As an exception, the title of the text actually corresponds with the drawing that accompanies it." As for the other three drawings, they do not belong with

Pap. Latte where they are

found, but match the contents of another papyrus, Louvre 7284, which is the closest relative of the Joseph Smith papy

The text of the Book of

Abraham twice refers its

reader back to an illustration,

and in doing so uses technical

terms exactly matching those

found in Egyptian text where

the reader is told that in

order to understand just what

such-and-such a ritual object

or person (e.g. a ship or

demon) looks like he must go back to such-and-such a place. where a drawing of the thing may be found. When the Book of Abraham says, "And that you may have a knowledge of this altar, I will refer you to the representation at the commencement of this record" (Abr. 1:12), or, "That you may have an understanding of these gods. I have given you the fashion of them in figures at the beginning," (1:14), it is speaking in the authentic Exyptian idiom, and the language clearly implies that the reader in this case doesn't have the picture before him. but must be referred back "to the commencement of this record," to "the figures at the beginning." That is hardly the language that would be used if the figures were on the very page before the reader's eyes, i.e. these instructions cannot have been taken from the first page of the Book of Breathings, where the critics profess to find them. The Abraham Papyrus may have belonged on the same roll as the Book of Breathings and Facsimile 1.

Editor's note: Today's Common Carrier article was written by Hugh Nibley, 285 E. 766 North, Provo, professor of history and religion at Brigham Young University. The views expressed here are his own and do not represent any recognized orga-

Comments expressed in Common Carrier do not necessarily reflect those of The Salt Lake Trib-

Articles selected for publication in this department are made by a board of lay edifors which work independent of Tribune reportorial and editorial policies. The board, representing a crosssection of the community, consists of Bruce S. Jenkins, referee in Federal Bankruptey Court, chairman; Marienc M. Young, editor and general manager. Holladay-Cettonwood Area News, vice chairman; Frank Calegory, international vice presi dent asbestos workers; Mrs. Eugene L. Bliss, past president, League of Women Voters of Salt Lake City and of Utah; and Dr. Lionel A. Maldonado, assistant professor of sociology, University of Utah.

This board reviews articles submitted and elther approves them for publication in this department or it rejects them. Articles must be short typewritten (four pages, double-spaced), have a central theme, be pertinent, and promote the weilbeing of the political, social or economic conditions of the Intermountain Area.

Individuals and representatives of recognized organizations are invited to sumbit statements to Common Carrier. Mail them to Common Carrier. The Salt Lake Tribune, P.O. Box 867, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84116.

but if so, it was in the section that has been cu! off and lost.

## Just What Haupens

If it seems strange that the illustration to one story should accompany the text of another, the Joseph Smith papyrus

# Lights Dim Soon at Mall

Special to The Tribune

HOLLADAY - Savings of one-fourth to one-third in electrical power without seriously affecting the sale of merchandise is expected as the result of a study going forward in the Cottonwood

Sidney Horman, developer, said all tenants inside the mail are being asked to carefully evaluate the reduction of Both levels within their stores As a metting of meeting the energy crists.

Several of the larger stores cancelled this year.

are removing light globes. turning off switches and otherwise cutting down electrical consumption.

Thermostats within the mall are being set from three to five degrees cooler than

Christmas lights will be burning, but all exterior lights will be controlled by time clocks. The lights will bern from dark until 9 p.m. Outlining of the mail perimeter with Christmas lights has been

is proof that that is just what happens, for, Abraham or not the scene depicted in Facsimile No. 1 is nowhere referred to in the text that follows it it belongs somewhere else. following the strange Egyptian custom. Only by matching up the fibers, in fact, is it possible to show that the conflicting text and vignette really were put on the same strip of рарутия

All scholarship, like all science, is an ongoing, openended discussion in which all conclusions are tentative forever, the principal value and charm of the game being the discovery of the totally unexpected. In the beginning I opened correspondence with these people, admitting my limitations, frankly groping and sparring for time. In response, they quickly showed their true colors; my personal letters were promptly reproduced and circulated for sale.

tarefully presented out of context to make it appear that I was doubtful not of my own qualifications but those of Jeseeb Smith Somebody stole film-strips of the Kirtland documents and without permission of their rightful custodians made and sold thousands of copies. incomplete and out of context. very loudly but quite wrongly advertising them as the very handwork of Joseph Smith himself. And all this amid perpetual and pious professions of total righteousness and the selfless search for truth -Watergate indeed'

#### Make Definitive Statements

The Tanners have never ceased to interpret the refusal of the Mormons (and me in particular) to make definitive and final statements about the penyri, as a sign not of weakness and ignorance (which it was), to say nothing of prodence and common sense, but as a tacit admission that Joseph Smith was a fraud.

if the years that have passed since the first publication of the Joseph Smith Papyri have been far from sufficient for a thorough examination of final conclusion. they have been adequate for opening up a world of evidence to be explored. How can we discuss these things if we have not done our homework? It is inexcusable for those who presume to set themselves up as a light to be as oblivious to what is going on as Mr. Nelson and the Tanners seem to be. If they are aware of sources beyond their own institution, they do not bother to mention them. Mr. John Fitzgerald now declares in the columns of The Tribune (Common Carrier, Oct. 7) that "those who give report-

ers information ought to be willing enough, couragoous enough, brave enough, and fair enough . . . to submit data that prove their statements." But the first step for such willing, courageous, brave and fair souls is to make an effort to find out just what information exists. This they have not done.

# Photographically Reproduced

Coverup? The instant the church got possention of the

papyrs, photos were sent ou to all the world. And in 1969 as soon as the 1832 version of the first vision was found, it too was photographically reproduced and published, along with all the other known versions. These are the "tapes"; with them before him any reader can judge for himself, all the rest is mere opinion and interpretation. Such frankness and candor in publication is virtually unheard of in the academie world. It greatly impressed the first Egyptologist to study the Joseph Smith Book of Breathings: "The speed with which photographs of the Joseph Smith Egyptian Papyri were published once they came into the possession of the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints," wrote Prof. Baer, "is a gratifying contrast to the secrecy with which their previous custodians surrounded them." There has been plenty of covering but by whom?

Do those people really believe that the Book of Abraham was translated from the Book of Breathings? That the book was produced in the very manner in which they protest, no book could possibly have been produced? Confronted with the reality of the Book of Mormon and the Pearl of Great Price, whose mere existence is a miracle (what other performance can compare as a sheer tourde-force?) those who set themselves to put us right confine their performance to demonstrating that these mervelous works were not produced in the conventional manner of the schools (whoever said they were?) and therefore must be a fraud. With endless protestations of honesty, integrity and virtue they manage from year to year to avoid all contact with the teeming sources in which these books must be tested, to flaunt with tireless repetition their two or three shopworn but hasty and unexamined charges of kidiscretion on the part of the Prophet, producing as evidence the opinions of a mysterious "Mormon Egyptologist" whose credentials they prefer not to discuss. It is the purert

Waterente.