## CONFLICT IN THE CHURCHES DETWEEN THE GOD OF THE BIBLE AND THE GOD OF THE PHILOSOPHERS

The Jewish Doctors: From Philo to Plotinus the Jerish teachers steadily deeschatologized and de-literalized the Scriphures, (N. Bentwich, JQR IV, 1-21).

"...the initiative in the attempt to stamp out orthodox Judalsm and to hellenize the Jews was not taken by Antiochus. but by the influential body of hellenistic Jews." (Oesterley, Apoc., 29)....

The Rabbis were implacably hostile to the old Jewish sects (G. Molin, Söhne Gottes, p. 166) Including the "Galilesan heretics," (Eisler I, 484). They banned and destroyed the old Apocryphal writings (K. Kohler, JQR XI, 145), which were then taken up by the Christians (Torrey, Apoc. Lit., 15f2)

The School of Hillel established a "method of overcoming the letter... through the disintegration of the text into its components, the single words, "with complete disregard of the context for the sake of the particular word..." (I. Sonne, Ginzberg Jubilee, 278).

The scholars "apun out abstract doctrines far beyond the ken of the common folk, and insisted that these are the truths of religion and morality."

(M. Kadushin, Rab. Mind, 87f). The Meturgemen in rendering the Bible into the language of the coomon people "did not scruple to transform the text before him in the boldest fashion...to modify the language of the prophet...and even, in certain cases, to reverse the plain meaning of the text." (Stanning Targ. Is., x, xi, xiv.).

In this operation the most useful tool was the <u>Memra</u> (="Ma'amar" or "Dibbur," "Logos"): "The Word," in the sense of the creative or directing word or speech of God manifesting His yover in the world of matter or mind; a term used especially in the Targum as a substitute for 'the Lord' when an anthropomorphic expression is to be avoided. .In the Targum the Memra figures constantly as the manifestation of divine power or as God's messenger in place of God Himself, whenever the predicate is not in conformity with the dignity or the spirituality of the Deity." Eg., Et., 35:22 "E mill cover thee with My Memra," NOT "My hand". Gen. 5:8, Dt. 4:53, etc., "The Veice of the Hemra," NOT "the veice of God," etc. (Jev. Encyclop., 6:464f).

Today it is recognized that there are in the OT "many dominating anthropomorphisms which seek to bring forth Tahweb's relatiouship to his people." (3. Muilenburg, JBL 77,23). Cookray to popular belief, the question of idolatry "could have played as mart in the formation of Israel's monotheism." (X. Kaulmann, Jen 1831, 193), and there is in the OT "No argument against plurality of year," (id. 189).

## II. The Christian Conflict.

A. The Early Christians were Tilteralistu:

four time the stder universitative contribution of the creation of man density force of lateractive in the top of the force of

unambiguous passages in the Clementine Homilies," (S. McCasland, JBL, 1950, 95).

Eg., Clam, Homil, X. 3: Peter addresses a conference: "Man, who was made in the image and in the likeness of that God who creates heaven and earth and all that in them is has been appointed to rule and reign over all things on the earth, even those that are obviously stronger than he, such as the lion, the elephant, etc."

In the common Christian belief of the Second century, "the Holy Ghost appears as a distinct entity (all seine be ordere Grösse) beside the Almighty Father and Jesus Christ." (C. Schmidt, Texte u. Unters., 43: 273).

When Clement of Alexandria speaks not for himself but for the Primitive Church, he says "that God and the celestial spirit world are to be thought of as literal and physical (korperlich), which is completely un-Clementine," (Bousset, Jud. Schulbstrieb, 157),

Tertullian, the first and best-informed of the Latin Fathers, "in his hostility to idealism (Platonic), falls into the error of accepting a crass materialism which translated God Himself into terms of body," (C. Cochrane, Christianity & Cl. Culture, 230),

Igantius (1-2 Cent.): "There are some Christ-betrayers, bearing about the name of Christ in deceit, and corrupting the word of the Gospel.,, They do not believe in His resurrection. They introduce God as a being unknown..." (Trall. 6)

"Do ye, therefore, mark those who preach other doctrines, how they affirm that the Father of Christ cannot be known..." (Smyrn. 6).

The worst error of the Gnostics - so-called - is that "they teach that Almighty is unknowable...that he is nuntterable, indescribable, unnameable..." (Const. Ap. VI, 10) "He is not self-caused and self-begotten, as the Gnostics say, but overlasting and without beginning," (Ib. II).

B. The Doctors deliberately renounced the teachings of the Early Church Regarding God:

"I know that people say that according to the scriptures God is physical (corpus esse), "But 'God is light' (John 1:5), and since God is light he is therefore completely incorporaal." (Oragen, Peri Arch, I, i).

"The vulgar speak of God as of a person, but they are wrong...The (Pagan) philosophers, on the other hand, held very nearly the same opinion of God as we do. Plato's opinion aspecially is virtually identical with our own,...so that any one might conclude either that all present-day Christians are philosophers, or that all the racinal philosophers were Christians." (NIn, Felix, Oct. 188 210 A.D.)

There ero some for any think you do in Godin Angre part quote Cen. 1:20. without first busing what is meant by the form as mindiance of Cod. ? (Mis represented to the first of the first o

"At this time the issue was stirred up as to whether God has a body like a man's; the greater purt of the common people especially insisted that God has a physical body of human form. Bishop Theophilus of Alexandria led the movement against this belief, and was opposed by the ascetes of the desert...who anathemized the books of Origen"...-Host of these Egyptian reformers were "naive souls, simple and plain of speech, the greater part of them being uneducated, while the Bishops were university men and followers of Origen." It was the "Origenists vs. the Anthropomorphists." (Secrat. CH, VI,7; Mich. Syr. VIII,1; Theodoret, CH, PG 82: 1141; Soxom. CH VIII,11.)

The greatest reformer of the 4th century was Audios the Syrian, who tried to restore the primitive purity of the Church. "He preached anthropomorphism, and the doctrine easily fooled-making and uneducated people." (Soz. CH VIII, 11)

"If stories about the gods are to be understood mythically, then they are nothing but words... If they are to be understood allogorically, then they are nothing on earth but myths." (Aritides, Apol. 13:7, the first Christian Apologist, rejecting all allogorical interpretation),

The word that best describes God, "asomaton, that is to say, incorporeal, is not employed in our Scriptures, where it is entirely unknown," (Origen, P. Archon, Intd. 8). Therefore it is necessary for Origen to squeeze it out by forced and arbitrary reading. For example the scripture, "Who hath seen me hath seen the Father," would give us a bad time, were not the passage more correctly understood by us to mean NOT 'see' but 'understand'. The story of Moses seeing his hinder parts is just one of those old wives tales. Let no one think it implous if we way that God is not even visible to the Savier. For to see and be seen are the properties of bodies, and so cannot be applied to the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost." (Ib, II, iv, 3).

"In the third century. . . Eishop Henos attacked the "allegorists" with a book in differed of a difficult and surthly Wallenaism; in reply to this 'unbealthy' teaching, Dissysius, the sophisticates Binkop of Alexandriam. wrote what derone calls on elegant book, deriding the old fable about the thousand years and the earthly Jerusales with its gold and jewels, the vestoration of the Temple', etc. This in turn brought forth a twovolume counterblast in Jeroma's day by one Apollinarium, who fact only spanks for his osa following but for the greater part of the people bore as well, so that I can already soe " says serumis, what a storm of opposition is in store for mein Jarome frankly admist that the opposition represents the old Christian tradition. his own liberal 'spiritualizing' interpresables running counter to lar beliefe of such without warlier authorites as Topicallian Victorious, Lackantius, and Trenamus. This puts bid in a director office account these things likerally we ave judeiners, if apart wile, as they serve weitten, we weem to be contradicting the opening in the ancients." (II II., JAR 50 59 )

The philosophic vocabulary had so plant to transfe Carital critig:

టాన్ని ఆమె కార్క్ నాయి. ఈ కార్ట్ మాట్లు కొట్టి కార్డ్ కేంద్ర కొట్టికే ఉంది. మీకా కార్డ్ మాయ్ట్ కార్ట్ కార్ట్ క కార్డ్ మాట్లిన్ని కార్డ్ క The term "semadeing of this of method;" decommendated." etc. are not found in the Scriptures and were unknews to the early Church. Therefore their introduction caused such micgiring and discussion. (Soc. Ellistif, 27).

"The word 'ousla' (mature, being) was unknown to the common people, since it is not contained in the Scriptures." (Socrat. HE II, 37).

"The doctrine that caused the greatest amusement to the heathen, and which they have the hardest time understanding is that concerning Christ's physical incarnation and suffering." (Const. Ap. III, 5).

Peter: "We dany absolutely that there is any evil in matter as such," (Cirm. Recog. IV, 23).

Peter to Simon Magus: "You seem to me not to know what a father and a God is; but I could tell you both whence the spirits are, and when and how they were made. But it is not permitted to me now to disclose these things to you. who are in such error in respect of the knowledge of God. If we set forth pure truth...with arguments and sophisms, they (the hearers) roll them in the mud (it scandalizes them)...Wherefore I also, for the most part, ...try to avoid publishing the chief knowledge concerning Supreme Divinity to unworthy ears." (Clem. Recog. 2:60, 3:1). Simon had just said: "I say that there are many gods, but that there is One God incomprehensible and unknown to all." (Ib. II. 37).

Martin Luther complained "that it was impossible to become a theologial except with the help of Aristotle, 'that comedian who deluded the church with his Greek mask.'", (C. Michalson, Un.Sem. R. Rev. 13:3),

Why the God of the Philosophers and the Christian Doctors is the Same:

"With perfect impunity and the greatest of ease they proceeded to do violence to the Ecriptures, blithely disregarding the original teaching... They never consulted the Scriptures, but busily worked out elaborate structures of syllogisms. They deserted the holy Scriptures for Euclid, bristotle, and Theophrasius, They cultivated the arts of the unbelievers and took to bair-splitting discussions about the once simple faith of the Coly Writ." (Euseb. CH. V. 18).

"O piporthiq, "gestatle" The larght them dislective, the art of proving of and disproving the Pertule. De praesor, 7).

The theory of the title Onchars.

"A really entertific election of the would present the Christian God as abstrace being in the manner of more to are header cotaphysics was a crying shed in the filtring religion was to be a standing... The pronounce-tention in a cod Chicochia and the contract of Tolshed product." (Englis) fild 47, 206)

Mar proposition discount of a second of the second of the

to condescend to popular capacity, has not hesitated to obscure some very important pronouncements, attributing to God himself some qualities extremely remote from (and even contrary to) His essence... Having arrived at any certainties in physics, we ought to utilize there as the nost appropriate aids in the true exposition of the Bible." (Galileo, To Christina, S. Drake, 181-3).

"We must also take heed, in handling the doctrine of Moses, that we altogether avoid saying positively and confidently anything which contradicts manifest experiences and reasoning of philosophy or the other sciences. For since every truth is in agreement with all other truth, the truth of the Moly Writ cannot be contrary to the solid reasons and experiences of human knowledge." (Id., 186).

(According to these classical statements, God in order to help the feeble understanding of men to grasp his nature, deliberately obscures the issue by given us a picture of himself that is as much unlike him as possible! The "unadorned meaning" of the Word of God is hopelessly misleading until it has been corrected and brought into line with the "manifest experiences and reasoning of philosophy or the other sciences.")

The result: "Deicide has been committed. Existentialism is not the murderer.

It is simply the witness to the crime. As Nietzscha said, is it
the churches which are the tombs of God, and God is dead not because He never existed, but because people have killed Him with
belief. The very manner of the church's credence is the murder
belief. The very manner of the church's credence is the murder
weapon." Existentialism detects the crime when it says: "No
God could be believed as you believe Him and survive..." (C. Michalson,
God could be believed as you believe Him and survive..." (C. Michalson,
Un.Sem. %t.Rev. 15:4) "God is set aside, according to Bultmann,
not by denying Him but by affirming Him in the wrong way. Ironically,
the theologicus are the class of people most likely to commit
deicide. (Ib., 5).

but think about thought—which is to convert God into an intellectual, or, more precisely, into a modest professor of philosophy. To speak of the God of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob in terms so bloodless is deicide, and Luther witnessed the crime." (Ib. 4).

"I am unconvinced that the word 'did' symbolizes anything. Not only are many statements about God self-contradictory, but they may not refer to anything but aspirations. As far as I can make out... you want me to take the statement that degue accorded into heaven, and sits on the right hand of dod, no metaphor, how assisted one must be not to push the hand of dod, no metaphor, how assisted palernity of God and the virginity thing a little farther, actively the palernity of God and the virginity of Mary as metaphors, like God arm." (J.P.S. Haldane, Sci. & Super-natural, 52, 55).